



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vingnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/607,680	06/30/2000	Brad A. Barmore	042390.P8527	1233	
•	7590 07/29/2003				
Paul A Mendonsa Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 7th Floor			EXAMINER		
			CASIANO, ANGEL L		
12400 Wilshir Los Angeles, (			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2182	7	
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, <i>i</i> -			DRG			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	V			
Advisory Action	09/607,680	BARMORE, BRAD	<b>A</b> .			
-	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Angel L. Casiano	2182				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) \( they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancer	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request f application in condition for allowance because: ⊆		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims with the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>3</b> :					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exar	miner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper Ng(s),	<del></del>				
10. Other:	IN MILE					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) JEFFREY GAFFIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 21700 of Paper No. 7

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/607,680



Continuation of 2. NOTE: In the proposed amendment, Claims 1, 10 and 19 include the limitation "the riser card operates as a logical extension of the motherboard and provides an external interface for the motherboard". Accordingly, Examiner respectfully states that the added limitations raise new issues, as indicated above.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments concerning Pecone [US 5,604,871] and IBM TDB [07/1994] are not persuasive. In accordance, Examiner respectfully maintains his position as stated by previous Office Action. In consideration of applicant's arguments, Examiner agrees in the fact that Pecone does not explicitly teach a sequence of instructions to cause a driver to be loaded. However, Examiner respectfully maintains his position that a driver is well known in the art as a code that works to communicate an operating system and a peripheral. Pecone teaches a riser card (hardware) which would obviously require a driver to load the necessary code to make the system functional.